

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,826	02/27/2002	Frederick L. Hjortsberg	LEAR 0922 PUS	6195
34007	7590 04/20/2004		EXAMINER	
BROOKS K	USHMAN P.C. / LEA	SALVATORE, LYNDA		
1000 TOWN TWFNTY-SI	CENTER ECOND FLOOR			PAPER NUMBER
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075-1238			1771	

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/083,826 Examiner	HJORTSBERG, FR	EDERICK L.			
	Lynda M Salvatore	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			 ress			
THE REPLY FILED 29 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	rially reducing or si	mplifying the				
 d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NC	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
_ , , , , , , , ,	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•					
. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments regarding the lack of motivation to combine references is not found persuasive. Specifically, the Examiner maintains that the advantages of powdered binders over aqueous taught by Kohlhammer et al., provides sufficient motivation to employ the powdered binder to bond the polyester reinforcing layers of Rozek et al. With regard to Applicant's argument that the binder of Kohlhammer comprises a second component, the Examiner respectfully points out that Applicant's open claim language does not preclude the existence of additional constituents.

CHERYL A. JUSKA PRIMARY EXAMINER